

International Journal of Paediatric Dentistry

Volume 11 Page 409 - November 2001 doi:10.1046/j.0960-7439.2001.00303.x

Volume 11 Issue 6

Microleakage of three sealants following conventional, bur, and air-abrasion preparation of pits and fissures

S. Hatibovic-Kofman, S. A. Butler & H. Sadek

Summary.

Objectives.

The aim of this study was to compare the microleakage of a filled sealant with a drying agent (UltraSeal XT *plus*), a filled sealant (Prismashield) and an unfilled sealant (Delton), after conventional, bur, and air-abrasion tooth preparation techniques.

Setting.

The study was completed in a clinical setting at the Simulated Practice Environment Clinic, School of Dentistry, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada.

Sample and methods.

One hundred and eighty extracted teeth were cleaned and divided randomly into three groups. Group 1 was prepared using acid etch only. Group 2 was prepared with a 1/4 round bur in a low-speed handpiece followed by acid etching. Group 3 was prepared with high speed (120PSI) microabrasion using 27 µm α-alumina particles in a Midwest Airtouch that unit. The teeth in each group were randomly assigned to one of the three subgroups according to the sealant applied. Subgroup A was sealed with a filled sealant with drying agent, B with a filled sealant only, and C with an unfilled sealant. All teeth were stored in artificial saliva for 7 days at 37 °C. After this, the teeth were coated with nail varnish 1 mm from the sealant and immersed in 1% methylene blue

QuickSearch in: Synergy PubMed (MEDLINE) CrossRef for Authors: S. Hatibovic-Kofman H. Sadek

Affiliations

Department of Paediatric Dentistry, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada

Correspondence

Correspondence: S Hatibovic-Kofman, Division of Orthodontics & Paediatric Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Dental Sciences Building, London, Ontario N6A 5C1, Canada

To cite this article

Hatibovic-Kofman, S., Butler, S. A. & Sadek, H. (2001) Microleakage of three sealants following conventional, bur, and air-abrasion preparation of pits

and fissures.

416. doi: 10.1046/

International Journal of

j.0960-7439.2001.00303.x

Paediatric Dentistry 11 (6), 409-

dye for 48 h at 37 °C. Each tooth was sectioned at four locations buccolingually and a total of 665 sections were ranked (0–3) for microleakage. Statistical analysis was completed using the Chi-squared test and Fisher's exact test.

Results.

UltraSeal XT *plus*, the filled sealant with drying agent, showed significantly less microleakage than the other two sealants (P < 0.05). Delton, the unfilled sealant, showed significantly less microleakage than Prismashield, the filled sealant (P < 0.05). Air abrasion with acid etch showed significantly less microleakage than either bur with acid etch or acid etch alone. There was however, no significant difference between the bur and acid etch tooth preparations (P < 0.05).

Conclusions.

UltraSeal XT *plus* was the most effective sealant for preventing microleakage in this study. The most successful method of preparation was air abrasion with acid etch.

References

Full Text Article

PDF [128KB]

Forward Links to Citing Articles

V. SRINIVASAN, C. DEERY & Z. NUGENT

. (2005) In-vitro microleakage of repaired fissure sealants: a randomized, controlled trial. *International Journal of Paediatric Dentistry* **15**:1, 51-60

Abstract

References

Full Text Article

PDF

G. ANSARI, K. OLOOMI & B. ESLAMI

. (2004) Microleakage assessment of pit and fissure sealant with and without the use of pumice prophylaxis. *International Journal of Paediatric Dentistry* **14**:4, 272-278

Abstract

References

Full Text Article

PDF

Blackwell Synergy® is a Blackwell Publishing, Inc. registered trademark More information about Blackwell Synergy - online journals from www.blackwellpublishing.com. We welcome your Feedback. See our Privacy Statement and Terms and Conditions. Technology Partner - Atypon Systems, Inc.





